



# Centrum Galerie Dresden Asset-Level Climate- Related Risk Assessment

**Klépierre Dresden Leasing GmbH**

Project Code: KLE-001-03-DE  
Doc Ref: KLE-001-03-DE-07003  
Revision: —  
Date: 10.04.2026  
Author: Johanna Brox  
Reviewed by: Cameron McLaren  
Approved by: Cameron McLaren

# CONTENTS

CONTENTS .....	2
GLOSSARY .....	3
CONFIDENTIALITY .....	4
1. INTRODUCTION .....	5
2. BACKGROUND .....	6
2.1. Asset Context .....	6
2.2. Climate Background .....	6
3. METHODOLOGY .....	8
3.1. Overview of Methodology .....	8
3.2. Physical Risk Exposure .....	8
3.3. Transition Risk Exposure .....	9
3.4. Social Implications .....	10
3.5. Vulnerability of Zones .....	10
3.6. Financial Impact Assessment .....	10
3.7. Compliance to Certification Schemes .....	11
4. PHYSICAL RISK ASSESSMENT .....	17
4.1. Summary of Physical Exposure Risk Assessment .....	17
4.2. Cold Wave / Frost and Temperature Variability .....	18
4.3. Tornado .....	20
4.4. Heavy Precipitation .....	21
4.5. Hail .....	23
4.6. Flood .....	24
4.7. Overall Physical Risk .....	25
5. TRANSITION RISK ASSESSMENT .....	28
5.1. Exposure Analysis .....	28
5.2. Vulnerability Analysis .....	32
5.3. Overall Transition Risk .....	39
6. SOCIAL IMPLICATIONS .....	40
7. VULNERABILITY OF ZONES .....	44
8. FINANCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT .....	45
8.1. Financial Implications of Climate Change .....	45
8.2. Financial Risk Assessment .....	45

# GLOSSARY

AR	Assessment Report
BMS	Building Management System
BREEAM	Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method
CAPEX	Capital Expenditures
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
CO <sub>2e</sub>	Carbon dioxide equivalent
COP	Conference of the Parties
CRREM	Carbon Risk Real Estate Monitor
DNSH	Do No Significant Harm
EPC	Energy Performance Certificate
ETS	Emissions Trading Scheme
EU ETS	European Union Emissions Trading Scheme
EV	Electric Vehicle
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GIA	Gross Internal Area
GRESB	Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark
GWP	Global-warming potential
HCFCs	Hydrochlorofluorocarbons
HVAC	Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
LED	Light emitting diode
LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PAI	Principal Adverse Impact
PPA	Power Purchasing Agreements
PV	Photovoltaic
RCP	Representative Concentration Pathway
SDA	Sectoral Decarbonisation Approach
SFDR	The Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation
SSP	Shared Socioeconomic Pathway
TCFD	Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures

# CONFIDENTIALITY

This document contains the findings and conclusions of an asset-level climate-related risk assessment for a retail property, Centrum Galerie Dresden, located at Prager Straße 15, 01069 Dresden. The assessment was undertaken by Longevity Partners ('Longevity') on behalf of Klépierre Dresden Leasing GmbH ('the Client'). This document is private and confidential and is not to be shared without the Client or Longevity's prior approval.

This risk assessment has been prepared for general guidance only. The information contained in, accessible on, or derived from the risk assessment is for the Client's general information only and does not constitute legal, financial, tax or investment advice nor is it a substitute for such independent professional advice. The Client agrees to seek independent professional advice as required.

No representation or warranty is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained within, to the extent that such information has been supplied to Longevity Partners by the Client and/or a third party.

To the extent permitted by law, Longevity Partners does not accept or assume any liability, responsibility, or duty of care for any consequences of the Client or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained within, to the extent that such information has been supplied to Longevity Partners by the Client and/or a third party.

For the avoidance of doubt, Longevity Partners is not a regulated entity nor is it purporting to undertake any regulated activity pursuant to the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 or any other relevant regulatory legislation.

This risk assessment may contain confidential information and is therefore only intended to be read by the relevant Client of Longevity Partners. The Client shall ensure that any employee to whom you supply the document is made aware of its confidential nature. Unauthorised copying and/or dissemination of this document, without the prior written consent of Longevity Partners, may incur legal liability pursuant to Longevity Partners Terms of Business and/or the law of confidence / privacy.

This risk assessment is a detailed approach to determining the overall potential impact that physical and transition climate-related risks might pose to the asset under evaluation. The prediction of future climate change is caveated with uncertainties. Whilst every effort has been made in predicting the situation and outcome at each location by the data provider, the very nature of climate-related risks is based on uncertainty and extreme variability, and therefore it is likely that extreme or highly unpredictable events may still occur which are outside the data provider and Longevity Partners' scope of responsibility or control. The results should therefore be interpreted as possible indications on how the climate may unfold over the future rather than certain fact. Moreover, percentage certainty in the datasets rapidly declines the further into the future the analysis is undertaken. The principal analysis of this report concludes with an evaluation of potential social and financial implications. Please note that this assessment is not a social impact or value assessment but does incorporate a qualitative analysis of the potential financial impact that the determined risks could pose on key areas of the business. Please note that this assessment is high level and should not be used as an indication of financial impact likelihood or severity. The Client should seek independent financial advice for a detailed monetary value of this risk.

References to Longevity Partners herein refer to Longevity Partners Limited and its affiliates.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Longevity Partners was instructed by Klépierre Dresden Leasing GmbH to support the integration of climate risk analyses for selected retail assets within the Klépierre portfolio.

Climate change has been recognised as one of the most significant risks of the 21<sup>st</sup> century to the global economy and environment. To avoid the most severe consequences of climate change, global temperature rise must be limited to below 2°C. From insurance to real assets and beyond, all sectors must incorporate contemporary knowledge of the climate risks posed to their assets into their overarching business plan and mitigate these risks accordingly.

Climate-related risks can be divided into two main categories: physical risks and transition risks. Physical risks are those which are caused by acute weather events and chronic weather patterns, whereas transition risks are associated with the transition towards a low-carbon economy, such as carbon taxation, imposed constraints on consumption or reputation risk.

The physical risk analysis uses climate information delivered by the Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence (Munich Re) climate platform. Munich Re unifies and processes the most up to date climate models to deliver information on 28 key climate hazards: heat wave, cold-frost, wildfire, changing temperature, heat stress, temperature variability, permafrost thawing, cyclone-hurricane-typhoon, storm, tornado, changing wind patterns, drought, heavy precipitation, flood, glacial lake outburst, changing precipitation patterns, precipitation variability, ocean acidification, saline intrusion, sea level rise, water stress, avalanche, landslide, subsidence, coastal erosion, soil degradation, soil erosion and solifluction. The data provided is high resolution, offering climate data up to a 30-metre grid resolution under different timescales and climate scenarios and Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) from present day through to 2100. This allows for the appropriate analyses required to report in-line with the recommendations for the Taskforce on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). This report also assesses the risk of the asset to other climate and geophysical hazards via exposure data from other third-party data sources.

The transition risk assessment determines risk exposure through a review of relevant national climate legislation, technology, market conditions, and the asset's reputation followed by an analysis of asset vulnerability to these forcings. The transition risk assessment is supplemented by an evaluation of the carbon risk of the asset – misalignment year, carbon price of emissions, and cost of energy and carbon emissions – using the Carbon Risk Real Estate Monitor (CRREM).

The level of detail of this assessment is adequate for understanding the impact to the asset from the material physical and transition risks posed by climate change and the worst-case financial and social implications thereof. Following this assessment, Longevity advises that the recommendations made should be examined in finer detail when executing any risk reduction measures.

## 2. BACKGROUND

### 2.1. Asset Context

This report will address Centrum Galerie Dresden, owned by Klépierre Dresden Leasing GmbH.

Constructed in 2002, and most recently refurbished in 2014, the building is located in Dresden, Germany. The Gross Internal Area (GIA) is 106,792m<sup>2</sup>. The asset, Centrum Galerie Dresden, comprises a retail shopping centre over four above-ground floors. The building is less than 300 metres from Prager Straße tram and bus station which has connections around the city, and less than 1 kilometre from Dresden Central Station which has nationwide connections. Many amenities are also in close proximity, including multiple universities, the Dresden opera, the historic city centre and a multitude of shops.

The asset energy consumption consists of electricity and heating from the district heating network. Furthermore, there are four EV charging stations provided on site.

### 2.2. Climate Background

#### 2.2.1. Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs)

The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) are a set of scenarios developed to explore different plausible futures of global development, considering a range of socioeconomic and environmental factors. These scenarios were created as part of the larger framework known as the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs), which are used in climate modelling to assess the potential impacts of different greenhouse gas concentration trajectories.

The SSPs were introduced in 2014 in the context of the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). They provide narratives of how the world could evolve in terms of demographics, economic development, technological innovation, energy use, and other key factors, which, in turn, influence greenhouse gas emissions and other drivers of climate change. The SSPs are numbered SSP1 through SSP5, each representing a distinct narrative about the future. They are designed to cover a broad range of possible futures, capturing different levels of challenges and opportunities related to climate change mitigation and adaptation. Here's a brief overview of each SSP:

- *SSP1 – Sustainability: A world with sustainable development, low population growth and strong efforts to address environmental issues. This scenario envisions a future where there is a focus on social equality, economic growth, and environmental stewardship.*
- *SSP2 – Middle of the Road: A future where historical trends continue, with moderate population growth, medium levels of economic development and gradual technological change. This scenario represents a 'middle of the road' pathway without extreme shifts in policies or practices.*
- *SSP3 – Regional Rivalry: A world characterised by high regional competition, fragmented international cooperation and slow economic growth. This scenario envisions a future with a focus on regional interests, leading to limited global collaboration.*
- *SSP4 – Inequality: A future marked by high economic inequality, both within and between countries. This scenario suggests a world where economic and social disparities widen, potentially leading to social and political tensions.*
- *SSP5 – Fossil-Fuelled Development: A future where high fossil fuel use and rapid economic growth drive increased greenhouse gas emissions. This scenario represents a pathway where current trends in energy use and economic development persist, resulting in higher emissions.*

#### 2.2.2. Climate Change in Germany

The climate in Germany is typically temperate with large-scale regional variability, ranging from more oceanic conditions in the north to more continental conditions in the east and southeast. Winters range from cold to mild and summers range from hot and dry to cool and rainy, with precipitation generally abundant but unevenly distributed due to topography. This variability is shaped by the interaction of Atlantic westerlies with continental air masses from north-eastern Europe, alongside strong elevation effects (e.g., uplands and the Alps versus lowland basins and river valleys). In recent years, extreme weather events have become increasingly commonplace in Germany. Climate phenomena such as heatwaves, droughts, forest fires, coastal flooding and flash flooding have increased in relevance as climate change progresses.

These impacts are not solely the result of acute shocks but are also the consequence of long-term stressors such as incremental changes in temperature and precipitation patterns.

While Alpine and upland regions are among the coldest areas in winter, the south and sheltered basins can also experience some of the highest summer temperatures. The river valleys of the Rhine, Main, Neckar and Moselle are well known as summer heat hotspots. Heat risk is amplified in urban areas: due to the urban heat island effect, air temperatures in large cities can be several degrees higher than in surrounding rural areas and, under clear and calm conditions (especially at night), the difference can reach around 10°C or more. Monitoring based on German Weather Service datasets shows that hot days (days with a daily maximum temperature of 30°C or higher) have increased markedly since the mid-20th century – rising from roughly a few days per year in the 1950s to around low double digits per year on average across Germany today – indicating a clear upward trend in heat exposure.

Rainfall remains a defining feature of Germany's climate, but long-term observations indicate a shift in seasonal patterns. Overall annual precipitation has increased since the late 19th century, with winters becoming noticeably wetter while summer precipitation has tended to decline slightly on average. At the same time, heavy rainfall can occur anywhere in Germany and can produce localised flash flooding with short lead times. Flooding risk is driven by multiple mechanisms: more frequent wet winter periods, episodic extreme rainfall, and – in coastal areas – rising sea levels that increase exposure to storm surges and coastal flooding, particularly along low-lying stretches of the North Sea and Baltic Sea coasts. In addition, attribution research on the July 2021 flooding in western Germany indicates that human-induced climate change increased the intensity of extreme rainfall and made such events more likely, reinforcing flood risk as a material physical hazard for assets.

Drought is also a major climate-related threat for Germany. Consecutive drought years since 2018 have demonstrated how persistent soil-moisture deficits can affect agriculture, forestry, water management, energy systems and transport, while also increasing the likelihood and duration of wildfires during hot and dry periods. Reduced snowpack and earlier melt in Alpine regions can further influence seasonal water availability and summer low-flow conditions in downstream catchments. Heat is a direct risk to health and asset operation: the German Environment Agency (UBA), together with the Robert Koch Institute (RKI), estimated around 3,000 heat-related deaths in each of the summers of 2023 and 2024 in Germany, underlining the importance of preparedness for heat stress. The risk of thermal stress to an asset should therefore be carefully considered to ensure that appropriate measures are in place to protect tenants and asset users under increasingly frequent and intense heat conditions.

### 3. METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1. Overview of Methodology

This risk identification and quantification process involves an analysis of climate-related physical and transition risks at the asset level. The analyses of the risk assessments consist of the following components, in accordance with industry standard methodology, ISO 14091:2021 Adaptation to Climate Change:

- 1) **Exposure:** An evaluation of the likelihood of the risk occurring or impacting at the asset’s location. Therefore, no consideration for features or policies in place are included in exposure analysis.
- 2) **Vulnerability:** An analysis of the applicable resilience and vulnerability of the asset to the risks of climate change. Specific features of a building and management practises can protect against these risks, and so implementing additional specific features, or making changes to systems and processes, can therefore reduce vulnerability. This analysis is expressed as a combination of the Sensitivity (2a) and Adaptive Capacity (2b).
  - a. **Sensitivity:** Elements of the building, or its surrounding areas, which would not be easily adaptable nor controllable with resilient implementations.
  - b. **Adaptive Capacity:** Elements of the building or its management that can be more easily adapted or controlled to build resilience against identified risks.
- 3) **Overall Risk and Recommendations:** A conclusion of the overall risk calculated by weighting the exposure of the risk against the vulnerability (sensitivity and adaptive capacity) to determine the degree to which the asset may be impacted by the identified risk. This is complemented by a series of proposed actions which can be implemented to enhance the resilience of the asset, and the wider portfolio, to the risks.

*Table 1. Risk Key.*

Table 1 (adjacent) illustrates the key used to quantify exposure, sensitivity, adaptive capacity and overall hazard rating. This key utilises the scoring system provided by Munich Re to provide a uniform rating system throughout the assessment.

Risk Rating	Risk Level
1	Very Low
2	Low
3	Medium
4	High
5	Very High

#### 3.2. Physical Risk Exposure

High-resolution climate data sourced from Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence was used to assess risks of the following: heat wave, cold-frost, wildfire, changing temperature, heat stress, temperature variability, permafrost thawing, cyclone-hurricane-typhoon, storm, tornado, changing wind patterns, drought, heavy precipitation, flood, glacial lake outburst, changing precipitation patterns, precipitation variability, ocean acidification, saline intrusion, sea level rise, water stress, avalanche, landslide, subsidence, coastal erosion, soil degradation, soil erosion and solifluction.

This data is notable for its granularity, extending down to the 30-metre grid resolution. Specific Shared Socioeconomic Pathway (SSP) scenarios – SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7 and SSP5-8.5 – and timescales – present-day, 2030, 2040, 2050, and 2100 – are available and utilised for this scope of assessment. The inclusion of such scenarios and timescales is crucial for enabling detailed analyses required to align with the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) and the European Union (EU) Taxonomy. By incorporating this level of detail, the assessment ensures that it not only captures trends but also provides an understanding of the potential physical risks associated with climate change.

The physical risk assessment focuses on hazard variables, allowing for a robust analysis of the direct impacts of climate-related hazards. The absolute data metrics, employed in this analysis, contribute to the determination of hazard ratings – quantitative values from 1 (very low exposure) to 5 (very high exposure). In some instances, multiple absolute data metrics are considered, reflecting the complex interplay of various factors influencing the hazard ratings.

### 3.3. Transition Risk Exposure

The exposure of Centrum Galerie Dresden to climate-related transition risks is broken down into four methods of analysis.

#### 3.3.1. Policy and Regulation

The interrelated nature of policy and regulation means that considering them under the same risk has been deemed necessary. A national-level legislative review of relevant climate policies is conducted and illustrated in the form of a collated list of pertinent regulations and current climate-related trends that may affect the operations of the asset. An exposure risk score is provided based on its perceived or potential impact on the asset. For example, an asset located within a country with stringent legislation regarding climate change adaptation and resilience, energy consumption, and transparency and reporting would be considered at high exposure risk.

#### 3.3.2. Market - CRREM Analysis

CRREM has developed decarbonisation pathways for commercial real estate assets in collaboration with the Science-Based Targets Initiative using the statistical framework of the Sectoral Decarbonisation Approach (SDA). These pathways can be used to benchmark the current and future performance of commercial real estate assets against the long-term international targets. Pathways are provided for both energy and greenhouse gas intensity, based on asset floor area. The SDA approach considers the capacity for each sector to achieve emissions reductions, based on energy use patterns, and the capacity of each national grid to decarbonise, based on planned policies and forecasted projections of future grid energy mix. This results in differing national and asset type pathways.

Energy reductions are often required by an asset, in light of the decarbonisation of the national grid, in order to reach the required greenhouse gas emissions reductions. Some regional grids already have a large share of renewable energy in their electricity mix, which results in assets requiring less decarbonisation to reach the necessary targets, and thus a lower risk. However other grids have much slower planned decarbonisation, therefore the energy targets are relatively more stringent and a higher exposure risk is associated. Market exposure is determined by the rate of energy reduction required by an asset for its associated pathway by 2050. The asset is classified within the CRREM tool as ‘Retail Shopping Centre’ which includes “enclosed centres for retail purposes, examples may include, but are not limited to: regional malls and shopping malls.”(CRREM Reference Guide, 2020).

Table 2. CRREM Data – Centrum Galerie Dresden.

Asset Details	
<b>Asset Type</b>	Shopping Centre
<b>Total Gross Internal Area – Whole Building</b>	106,792m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Annual Vacant Area</b>	899m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Consumption Year</b>	2024
<b>Grid Electricity Consumption (non-renewable)</b>	2,367,686 kWh
<b>On-Site Renewable Electricity Generation</b>	null
<b>District Heating Consumption</b>	1,947,400 kWh
<b>District Cooling Consumption</b>	null
<b>Reporting Method</b>	Location-Based Approach

This tool is used to project the asset’s “CRREM misalignment year”, which refers to the year in which the asset’s carbon emissions performance is no longer expected to follow a 1.5°C decarbonisation trajectory, as outlined within the Paris Agreement. It compares the asset’s projected emissions trajectory against national and asset-type decarbonisation pathways, accounting for evolving regulations, carbon pricing, grid decarbonisation, and climate impacts on heating and cooling demand. The tool categorises exposure risk based on the misalignment year, ranging from very high exposure (misalignment prior to 2030) to low exposure (aligned through to 2050). It also models the financial implications of misalignment, including the “Carbon Cost of Excess Emissions after Misalignment” and “Cost of Energy and Carbon Emissions,” using

country-specific carbon prices and energy rates. Where applicable, the tool provides decarbonisation targets aligned with CRREM pathways to quantifiably guide each asset's transition plan.

### 3.3.3. Technology

Technology exposure is assessed for six key technologies based on their competitiveness within each region, and any pertinent regulations concerning their implementation. A high exposure risk rating may be due to mandatory regulations to implement the technology, or from incentives and schemes which make the technology competitive, therefore the asset would be less competitive (higher exposure risk) if the technology is not implemented.

For global consistency, this assessment considers five technologies as the low-carbon emerging technologies that will increase competitiveness among organisations and will assist assets in their decarbonisation transition. These are: on-site renewable energy generation systems, green energy tariffs, electric vehicle (EV) chargers, automatic meter readings, and smart building technologies (such as building management systems and occupancy sensors). An additional technology is also considered: gas boilers, which are considered the key technology to hinder the decarbonisation efforts of an organisation.

### 3.3.4. Reputation

In this section, the reputation exposure risk posed by changing consumer preferences and stakeholder concern and feedback is assessed. Exposure of the asset to these risks is driven by the type of sector the asset belongs to, its location and the associated trends analysed within these variables. Other climate-related reputation risk such as social disruption through national instability or population shifts are not considered of significant enough exposure to be included within the scope of this assessment.

## 3.4. Social Implications

A review is undertaken of the social implications of physical and transition climate risks, particularly the effect on the economic stability, safety, and well-being of individuals and communities, as well as the financial performance and sustainability of real estate assets. A key focus here is given to the engagement opportunities that the asset has within the community due to the critical importance to incorporate local area involvement and influence when designing solutions and implementing new measures to mitigate and adapt to climate change.

## 3.5. Vulnerability of Zones

The vulnerability of different zones is broken down in Section 7 and in the Executive Summary Report. The purpose of this analysis is to give a high-level indication as to the relative vulnerabilities of different elements of the asset to the discussed risks.

The considered zones are: Construction, Façade, Rooftop, Indoor Space, Outdoor Space, Adaptation Measures, Technical Equipment, Smart Building Technologies, Water and Waste Dynamics, Policies and Procedures and, Stakeholder Engagement. The representation of each of these zone's vulnerability is an analysis of answers given by property management and asset observations which are relevant for the material hazards defined in the scope of this assessment.

## 3.6. Financial Impact Assessment

### 3.6.1. Climate Expected Loss

As calculated by Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence, Climate Expected Loss is the average annual loss (annualised expected loss) due to physical damage to buildings and their contents due to physical hazard events. The Climate Expected Loss is measured relative to the total cost of rebuilding, assuming region-specific standard building characteristics which are captured in the vulnerability functions used and is expressed as a percentage of its rebuilding cost, ranging from 0.00% to 100%.

Climate Expected Loss is available for the storm hazards of tropical cyclone and extratropical storm and the flood hazards of river flood (defended) and storm surge (defended). It is calculated by combining the expected peak wind speeds of tropical cyclones and extratropical storms, or the expected flood inundation depths, for multiple return periods within the relevant grid cell, with asset vulnerability curves. These vulnerability curves relate the intensity of a hazard event to the expected damage, which is expressed as a damage ratio, i.e. the ratio of the cost of repairing the damage to the total cost of replacing the building

and its contents entirely (if it is totally destroyed). The vulnerability curves depict the estimated damage to buildings in different parts of the world on the basis of differences in building type, materials and local building codes. This yields the location-specific exceedance probabilities. Climate Expected Loss is the expected value of the modelled loss distribution, including information on local flood protection measures.

### 3.6.2. 1-in-100 Year Damage

As calculated by Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence, the storm hazards of tropical cyclone and extratropical storm and the flood hazards of river flood (defended) and storm surge (defended) are within scope for return period damage analysis.

The 1-in-100 year damage measures the mean damage degree to an affected asset at a location-specific hazard intensity for the applicable hazard with a return period of 100 years. This metric indicates the extent of damage to the asset, expressed as a percentage of its rebuilding cost, ranging from 0.00% to 100% (representing none to total destruction). The degree of damage depends on the asset type. Standard buildings can vary across regions in terms of size, quality and building standards, which is reflected in region-specific damage functions describing the functional relationship between the hazard intensity and the resulting damage.

### 3.6.3. Financial Risk Analysis

The Financial Impact Assessment is summarised in the Executive Summary report. In this assessment, a review of the overall risks posed by climate change determines their overall impact on key financial areas that would affect asset continuity in the future. This is broken down into the following areas: increased operating costs, asset write offs, reduced demand for asset products and services, capital investments in technology, adaptation costs, shifts in energy costs, repricing of assets, reduced revenue from the workforce, reduction in capital availability and increased capital costs. This is evaluated based on the culmination of the aforementioned risk assessments undertaken, referencing the most pressing time period and extreme climate scenario (SSP5-8.5 for physical risks or 1.5°C for transition risks). This is then expressed as a qualitative rating scale (low, medium, high) to provide an indication of the financial impacts that could be caused to the business by these risks.

### 3.6.4. Risk Reduction Measures and Costs

Due to the interlinked nature of climate-related risks, the key recommendations listed in the report that should be considered to build the resilience of the asset against future climate change are summarised in the Executive Summary report. The indicative cost figures are derived from market research to provide a high-level estimation of costs. It should be noted that these prices are designed to be indicative only and are likely to be very variable per region and supplier.

## 3.7. Compliance to Certification Schemes

This report is compliant for a number of credits and sections within both Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) in Use asset certification (part 1 and part 2), and the portfolio level reporting to Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB). Please see Table 3 and Table 4, which summarise the compliance to both schemes.

### 3.7.1. Compliance to BREEAM In Use

Table 3. Report compliance to BREEAM in Use (DE/AT/CH).

BIU Credit	Question	Credits achieved through the Longevity Climate Risk Report
<b>Part 1: RSL 01 Flood Risk Assessment</b>	If a flood risk assessment (FRA) has been carried out, what was its scope and which flood risk level was assigned to the asset?	The number of credits gained here depends on the findings in the report. Since fluvial and tidal flooding hazards are less than medium exposure risk, <b>3 credits are attained</b> .  Please note that the <b>exemplary credit is achieved</b> from this report but scored separately. Therefore, the total score will be the number of credits above plus the one exemplary credit ( <b>1% available</b> ).

<b>Part 1: RSL 03 Natural Hazard Risk Assessment</b>	Has a risk assessment been carried out to understand an asset's exposure to current Natural Hazards?	Longevity Partners drafted a Natural Hazard Emergency Plan alongside this Climate-Related Risk Assessment. When implemented, <b>2 credits are achieved</b> .
<b>Part 2: RSL 06 Emergency plans and climate-related physical risks</b>	What is included within the scope of the Emergency plans?	Longevity Partners drafted a Natural Hazard Emergency Plan alongside this Climate-Related Risk Assessment. One <b>exemplary credit (1% available)</b> will be achieved.
	Has the asset been assessed for climate-related physical risks?	<b>3 credits</b> will be achieved from this asset level report ('Yes, and the risks posed to the asset value and the community have been identified').
<b>Part 2: RSL 07 Climate-related transition risks and opportunities</b>	Has the asset been assessed for exposure to climate-related transition risks and opportunities through a risk assessment process?	<b>2 credits</b> are available for <b>each of RSL 07 and RSL 08</b> if this report is not externally disclosed. <b>4 credits</b> are attainable for <b>both RSL 07 and 08</b> out of this report, but only if you are willing to incorporate this onto the website of the asset itself to make it externally disclosed. Longevity Partners can again advise on the wording for this disclosure. Full TCFD reporting, however, is not included within this scope of work but can certainly be provided at an additional cost.
<b>Part 2: RSL 08 Social risks and opportunities</b>	Has the asset been assessed for exposure to social risks and opportunities through a risk assessment process?	

### 3.7.2. Compliance to GRESB

Table 4. Report compliance to GRESB.

GRESB Risk Category		Risk Criteria	Potential Asset Risk
<b>Physical Risk</b>	Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity?	Acute Hazards	The asset has material exposure to significant acute GRESB hazards, including cold, precipitation, and hail.
		Chronic Hazards	The asset has material exposure to the chronic GRESB hazard of cold.
	The entity's process for prioritising physical risks	This is the entity's preference. It is recommended that risks are prioritised by severity in the short term under the least stringent climate scenario.	
	How materiality determinations are made for such risks	In this assessment, materiality is determined by any hazard that shows a risk of moderate to extreme under each respective climate scenario and time horizon.	
	Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity?	Section 8 of the Appendix Report assesses the financial exposure to all direct and indirect physical risk impacts.	
<b>Transition Risk</b>	The entity's process for prioritising transition risks	This is the entity's preference. It is recommended that risks are prioritised by severity in the short term under the least stringent climate scenario.	
	How materiality determinations are made for such risks	In this assessment, materiality is determined by any hazard that shows an exposure risk of medium or higher under any respective climate scenario and time horizon.	
	Does the entity have a systematic process for	Increasing price of GHG emissions	Current carbon price is €60/tCO <sub>2</sub> e under the German national emissions trading

identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity?		system (nEHS), which is an increase of 689,5% since 2015 when the price was €7.60/tCO <sub>2e</sub> under the EU ETS.
	Enhancing emissions-reporting obligations	No current emissions-reporting obligation identified, but this may change in future.
	Mandates on regulation of existing products and services	Key regulations relevant for the asset are listed in Section 5.1.1.
	Exposure to litigation	71 climate litigation cases have been filed in this country.
	Substitution of existing products and services with lower emissions options	Analysis not available from this assessment.
	Unsuccessful investment in new technology	Analysis not available from this assessment.
	Costs to transition to lower emissions technology	Capex to adopt risk reduction measures are provided in the Executive Summary Report recommendations.
	Changing customer behaviour	See analysis in Section 5.1.4.
	Uncertainty in market signals – highlight uncertainty of climate models, renewable shares, energy prices, etc	Market analysis and costs of energy and emissions are outlined in Section 5.2.1 of this report.
	Increased cost of raw materials	Analysis not available from this assessment.
	Shifts in consumer preferences	See analysis in Section 5.1.4.
	Stigmatisation of sector	See analysis in Section 5.1.4.
	Increase stakeholder concern or negative stakeholder feedback	See analysis in Section 5.1.4.
The entity's process for prioritising transition risks	This is the entity's preference. It is recommended that risks are prioritised by severity in the short term under the least stringent climate scenario.	
How materiality determinations are made for such risks	In this assessment, materiality is determined by any hazard that shows a risk of moderate to extreme under each respective climate scenario and time horizon.	
Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity?	Section 5.2.1 of the Appendix Report assesses the financial exposure to all direct and indirect transition risk impacts.	

### 3.7.3. Compliance to EU taxonomy

#### 3.7.3.1. Background of the EU Taxonomy

The EU Taxonomy Regulation is a classification system, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. In other words, it provides a common and clear definition of what 'sustainable' means. In doing so, it seeks to protect private investors from greenwashing, help companies to become more climate-friendly, and shift investments where they are most needed.

The six environmental objectives covered by the EU Taxonomy are:

- Climate change mitigation;
- Climate change adaptation (*focus of this report*);
- Sustainable use and protection of water and marine resources;
- Transition to a circular economy;
- Pollution prevention and control; and
- Protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems.

*This report will address the climate change adaptation objective.*

Assessing an activity's alignment with the EU Taxonomy is based on a list of criteria provided by the European Commission (Technical Screening Criteria). Criteria for the real estate and construction sector cover the following activities:

- Construction of new buildings;
- Renovation of existing buildings;
- Acquisition and ownership of buildings.

Technical Screening Criteria covering the climate change objectives (1 and 2) entered into force in January 2022. Draft criteria for the remaining objectives were published in 2023.

### **3.7.3.2. EU Taxonomy regulation applicability**

The EU Taxonomy Regulation is a mandatory classification and disclosure regime for certain large non-financial undertakings (those previously in scope of NFRD) and financial undertakings. It requires them to disclose how much of their turnover, CapEx, or Opex is taxonomy-eligible and aligned. The mandatory disclosure obligations began in 2022 (initial phase) and are currently on a roadmap of being phased in further (including from January 2024 and beyond). Full EU Taxonomy alignment reporting is not yet mandatory for all listed companies with more than 500 employees. The obligations apply specifically to undertakings in scope of the NFRD or CSRD, and are being implemented in stages: first, disclosure of taxonomy-eligible activities; subsequently, taxonomy-aligned performance against the six environmental objectives. Most companies are still transitioning towards full alignment disclosures as additional objectives and delegated acts take effect.

### **3.7.3.3. Consideration of natural hazards to be aligned with the EU Taxonomy climate change adaptation criteria in real estate sector**

The table below shows the coverage of natural risks based on Annex A of the climate change adaptation objective of the EU Taxonomy delegated act. It is important to note that the objective of adapting to climate change is also associated with the other objectives within a framework known as the "Do No Significant Harm (DNSH)". In this way, each activity wishing to know its alignment with a Taxonomy objective must carry out an analysis of current and future natural risks using the list of risks identified in Table 5.

Natural hazards are considered material based on the current or future exposure risk potential. Vulnerability and adaptation plan for below medium level risks – present or projected – are not considered necessary in this assessment as the hazard is considered to be low or non-existent.

### **3.7.3.4. How the EU Taxonomy links with the SFDR (The Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation)**

Under the definition given by the SFDR, funds can demonstrate that they make 'sustainable investments' by proving that their assets are EU Taxonomy-aligned investments. In SFDR product-level disclosures the Fund must demonstrate that its assets pass the following tests:

1. Substantial contribution to one of the six EU Taxonomy objectives (listed in the above EU Taxonomy section).
2. Do No Significant Harm to other EU Taxonomy objectives (DNSH).
3. Minimum Safeguards
  - a. Alignment of the company carrying out the economic activity with the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles for Business and Human Rights.
4. Take into account Principle Adverse Impacts
  - a. Collect data on mandatory Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) indicators from Table 1 of Annex I SFDR RTS and any relevant indicators in Table 2.

- b. Apply self-defined thresholds per PAI indicator (quantitative or qualitative) to exclude principle adverse impacts.
- 5. Good governance
  - a. This includes: sound management structures; employee relations; remuneration of staff; tax compliance.

To be classified as Article 9, a fund must demonstrate that 100% of its investments comply with the definition of 'sustainable investments' given above, at the point of acquisition. A fund may classify as Article 8 and commit some percentage of its assets to making sustainable investments. This is known as an Article 8+ fund. Article 8 funds have no obligation to make sustainable investments, just to promote environmental and/or social characteristics. These are self-defined thematics which guide the investment strategy of the fund.

Table 5. EU Taxonomy Materiality Table (present).

Category	Severity	Climate-related hazards	Materiality
Temperature-related	Chronic	Changing Temperature (Air, Freshwater, Marine Water)	Low materiality
		Heat Stress	Low materiality
		Temperature Variability	Medium materiality
		Permafrost Thawing	Very low materiality
	Acute	Heat Wave	Very low materiality
		Cold Wave / Frost	High materiality
		Wildfire	Very low materiality
Wind-related	Chronic	Changing Wind Patterns	Very low materiality
	Acute	Cyclone / Hurricane / Typhoon	Very low materiality
		Storm (Including Blizzards, Dust And Sandstorms)	Low materiality
		Tornado	Medium materiality
Water-related	Chronic	Changing Precipitation Patterns And Type (Snow/Ice, Hail, Rain)	Very low materiality
		Precipitation Or Hydrological Variability	Low materiality
		Ocean Acidification	Very low materiality
		Saline Intrusion	Very low materiality
		Sea Level Rise	Very low materiality
		Water Stress	Low materiality
	Acute	Drought	Low materiality
		Heavy Precipitation (Rain, Hail, Snow/Ice)	Medium materiality
		Flood (Coastal, Fluvial, Pluvial, Ground Water)	Very low materiality
		Glacial Lake Outburst	Very low materiality
Solid mass-related	Chronic	Coastal Erosion	Very low materiality
		Soil Degradation	Very low materiality
		Soil Erosion	Low materiality
		Solifluction	Very low materiality
	Acute	Avalanche	Very low materiality
		Earthquake / Landslide	Very low materiality
		Subsidence	Low materiality

# 4. PHYSICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

## 4.1. Summary of Physical Exposure Risk Assessment

The results of the Munich Re exposure data are outlined in Table 6. The asset has material exposure (at present) to temperature variability, cold wave / frost, tornado, heavy precipitation and hail. These are the only hazards relevant for vulnerability analysis. Please refer to Section 3.1 for an overview of methodology.

- 1) **Cold Wave / Frost:** Centrum Galerie Dresden has **high** exposure at present and consistent high exposure projected until 2050. Then medium exposure projected by 2100 under SSP5.
- 2) **Temperature Variability:** Centrum Galerie Dresden has **medium** exposure at present and consistent medium exposure projected under all scenarios to the end of the century.
- 3) **Tornado:** Centrum Galerie Dresden has **medium** exposure at present, with no projections.
- 4) **Heavy Precipitation:** Centrum Galerie Dresden has **medium** exposure at present and consistent medium exposure projected under all scenarios to the end of the century.
- 5) **Hail:** Centrum Galerie Dresden has **medium** exposure at present, with no projections.
- 6) **All other Munich Re physical hazards:** Centrum Galerie Dresden has very low or low exposure at present. These are considered immaterial risks.

Table 6. Physical Risk Exposure.

Hazard	Present	SSP2/RCP4.5				SSP3/RCP7				SSP5/RCP8.5			
		2030	2040	2050	2100	2030	2040	2050	2100	2030	2040	2050	2100
Changing Temperature		Medium	Medium	Medium	High	Low	Medium	Medium	Very High	Medium	Medium	High	Very High
Heat Stress	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
Temperature Variability	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Permafrost Thawing	Very Low			Very Low	Very Low							Very Low	Very Low
Heat Wave	Very Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium
Cold Wave / Frost	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	Medium
Wildfire	Very Low												
Changing Wind Patterns		Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Cyclone/Hurricane/Typhoon	Very Low	Very Low		Very Low	Very Low					Very Low		Very Low	Very Low
Storm	Low												
Tornado	Medium												
Changing Precipitation Patterns		Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Precipitation/Hydrological Variability	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Ocean Acidification		Very Low		Very Low	Very Low	Very Low		Very Low	Very Low	Very Low		Very Low	Very Low
Saline Intrusion	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low					Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Sea Level Rise		Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Water Stress	Low					Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium

Drought	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium					Medium	Medium	Medium	Very High
Heavy Precipitation	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Flood (coastal, fluvial, groundwater)	Very Low	Very Low		Very Low	Very Low					Very Low		Very Low	Very Low
Glacial Lake Outburst	Very Low												
Coastal Erosion				Very Low	Very Low							Very Low	Very Low
Soil Degradation	Very Low												
Soil Erosion	Low			Medium	Medium							Medium	Medium
Solifluction	Very Low												
Avalanche	Very Low												
Landslide	Very Low												
Subsidence	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Earthquake	Very Low												
Volcanoes	Very Low												
Tsunami	Very Low												
Hail	Medium												
Lightning	Low												

## 4.2. Cold Wave / Frost and Temperature Variability

### 4.2.1. Exposure Analysis

For cold, Table 7 outlines in more detail the evolution of variables under different scenarios and time horizons. As seen, all variables show decrease in intensity or frequency.

Table 7. Cold Variables (Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence).

Variable	Year	SSP2/RCP4.5	SSP3/RCP7.0	SSP5/RCP8.5
Annual Minimum Temperature (°C)	Present		-18.0	
	2030	-15.7	-15.3	-16.0
	2040	-15.1	-14.8	-14.8
	2050	-14.6	-14.0	-13.4
	2100	-13.1	-10.1	-9.0
Mean Daily Minimum Temperature (°C)	Present		5.1	
	2030	6.0	5.9	6.0
	2040	6.2	6.2	6.5
	2050	6.4	6.7	7
	2100	7.3	8.3	9.5
Annual Frost Days	Present		88.7	
	2030	79.0	79.5	77.3
	2040	76.0	71.5	72.4
	2050	71.2	65.4	65.1
	2100	63.0	45.5	34.6

<b>Annual Ice Days</b>	<b>Present</b>		25.4	
	<b>2030</b>	20.4	19.8	20.8
	<b>2040</b>	19.7	17.1	18.3
	<b>2050</b>	19.8	14.7	16.1
	<b>2100</b>	14.3	7.1	6.1
<b>Cold Spell Duration Index (days)</b>	<b>Present</b>		2.8	
	<b>2030</b>	1.9	2.1	1.9
	<b>2040</b>	1.8	1.4	0.5
	<b>2050</b>	1.0	0.1	0.0
	<b>2100</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0

#### 4.2.2. Sensitivity Analysis

This stage of the analysis determined that the asset's sensitivity to cold wave / frost is **medium**. This score was determined through a series of asset specific enquiries to the asset management team to ascertain any preventative measures already in place to mitigate the exposure risk, particularly regarding the building plot or fabric and its users. A lower rating was not awarded due to various cold sensitive features of the asset:

- 1) The most heavily glazed façade faces east, meaning that solar gain is not enhanced during cold but sunny periods, and the risk of cold-associated hazards is increased.
- 2) The main building material that the asset is constructed with (concrete) may experience long-term issues due to cold weather, like cracking if moisture penetrates the material.
- 3) Double-glazed windows are less efficient in extreme cold weather because the single insulating layer between the panes may not be sufficient to prevent significant heat transfer. Advanced solutions like triple glazing or low-emissivity coatings often perform better in colder climates.
- 4) The predominant colour of the façade (mid tone) results in more heat being reflected than absorbed, during cold but sunny periods
- 5) The building materials' U-values are unknown. Higher U-values may result in higher heat losses from windows, roofs and walls.
- 6) The glazing g-values are unknown. A high solar heat gain coefficient for windows indicates that the windows allow a significant amount of solar energy to pass through, helping to warm the interior.

Features which enhance the asset's resilience and reduce its sensitivity to cold include:

- 1) The predominantly urban topography surrounding the asset. This decreases the plot's albedo effect and therefore renders the asset more likely to absorb heat.
- 2) The low proportion of the building that is glazed reduces the amount of heat that is able to escape.
- 3) Tall trees (more than 5 metres) are located between 2 and 8 meters from the asset, meaning that they can protect it from cold winds to an extent.
- 4) The building is sufficiently insulated and can provide adequate protection from the cold.
- 5) The building is divided into thermal zones to control cooling/heating independently.
- 6) The ventilation system is designed to prevent significant build-up of moisture within the building.

#### 4.2.3. Adaptive Capacity Risk Analysis

The adaptive capacity analysis defines and highlights the features present or absent at the asset which consequently affect the overall risk of the building and its users. This stage of the analysis determines that the risk of cold to the asset from an adaptive capacity perspective is **medium**. A lower rating was not awarded due to the following cold vulnerable features:

- 1) The low proportion of vegetated site coverage (5%) reduces the amount of potential protection from cold winds.
- 2) The absence of a health and safety policy that specifically covers the impact of extreme cold weather on asset users.
- 3) The absence of thermal curtains or blinds that could close at night to keep heat inside.
- 4) The absence of heated driveways/walkways/roofs present at the asset reduces the amount of protection during cold periods.

- 5) The absence of regular monitoring of pipe systems, especially in winter season where cold temperatures could freeze the liquids in the pipes or crack the outer material of the pipes.
- 6) The mid-toned colour of the roof renders the asset with relatively poor insulation properties during cold but sunny periods.

Features which enhance the adaptive capacity of the asset to cold include:

- 1) Heating is present onsite.
- 2) The asset is equipped with a backup power supply which can provide electricity to the asset in case of damage of service lines or on-site equipment.
- 3) The presence of a green roof ensures that internal aspects of the asset is well-insulated during cold periods.
- 4) Some systems at the asset are equipped with Energy Recovery Ventilation (ERV), which enables heat recovery between outgoing and incoming air and reduces the energy needed to warm incoming air.
- 5) The asset is provided with thermostats that can precisely control the internal temperature of the building.
- 6) An emergency procedure for cold was carried out alongside this report.

#### 4.2.4. Overall Risk Analysis

Based on the exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity analyses, the overall risk of cold, frost and temperature variability to the asset and its users at present is **medium** and remains medium for all projections through to 2100. The risk of cold can be reduced through implementing the recommendations in Section 4.7.2.

### 4.3. Tornado

#### 4.3.1. Exposure Analysis

Despite their relatively short-term and small-scale characteristics, tornadoes exert a destructive power through rotating wind speeds that can exceed those of tropical cyclones. Severe damage occurs through uprooting trees, destroying buildings and vehicles, and hurling debris.

As seen in Table 7, the exposure of Centrum Galerie Dresden's location to tornadoes at present is medium, with no future projections.

#### 4.3.2. Sensitivity Analysis

This stage of the analysis determined that the asset's sensitivity to tornadoes is **medium**. This score was determined through a series of asset specific enquiries to the asset management team to ascertain any preventative measures already in place to mitigate the exposure risk, particularly regarding the building plot or fabric and its users. A lower rating was not awarded due to various tornado sensitive features of the asset:

- 1) The flat roof is highly vulnerable during tornado events, as its geometry can make it more susceptible to extreme wind uplift. This increases the risk of roof failure, structural damage, and internal water ingress.
- 2) Thickets and trees are located between 2 and 8 metres from the asset, meaning branches or whole trees may fall or become wind-borne debris during tornado events. This increases the risk of damage to the façade, roof, and windows.
- 3) Double-glazed windows offer some resistance, but standard units are not designed for tornado conditions and may fail under severe wind or debris impact, increasing the risk of internal damage.
- 4) No anchor system to secure the building to its foundation is present. Extreme wind forces may compromise the building's structural stability.
- 5) The type of foundation the asset is built on is unknown. In tornado-prone areas, piled foundations generally provide better resistance when combined with robust anchorage, although performance depends mainly on the structural connection to the foundation.

Features which enhance the asset's resilience and reduce its sensitivity to tornadoes include:

- 1) As the asset is not vertically isolated, the structure of the asset is less susceptible to wind damage and increased wind speeds at ground level.

- 2) The limited number of floors below ground level reduces the risk of damage during tornado events, as there is less subterranean space exposed to water ingress, service disruption, or evacuation constraints.
- 3) The design of the building is made to sustain future levels of high winds makes it less likely to be damaged.
- 4) The location of the technical room and power supplies both above and below ground makes the asset's technical installations less vulnerable to power outages, water damage or other technical defects as a result of flash flooding.
- 5) The concrete roof provides greater resistance to extreme wind uplift and debris impact than lighter roofing systems during tornado events.
- 6) Concrete as the main building material provides strong resistance to extreme wind loads and debris impact during tornado events, reducing the risk of severe structural damage.

### 4.3.3. Adaptive Capacity Risk Analysis

The adaptive capacity analysis defines and highlights the features present or absent at the asset which consequently affect the overall risk of the building and its users. This stage of the analysis determines that the risk of tornadoes to the asset from an adaptive capacity perspective is **medium**. A lower rating was not awarded due to the following tornado vulnerable features:

- 1) Roof inspection and maintenance less than once a year increase the risk that rooftop equipment, membranes, or cladding are not properly secured, raising the likelihood of injuries and damage to the asset during severe weather events.
- 2) No asset or corporate health and safety policy for extreme wind-related events is in place, which may reduce preparedness and increase the potential for injury and operational disruption.
- 3) No weather monitoring or early warning system is in place, limiting advance notice and reducing the time available to implement protective measures.
- 4) No storm shutters are present and windows cannot be controlled to close over them, increasing the risk of glazing damage and internal impacts during tornado events.

Features which enhance the adaptive capacity of the asset to tornadoes include:

- 1) The asset is equipped with an alternative power source or backup storage system, which helps maintain electricity supply in the event of damage to external service lines during tornado events.
- 2) Exterior fittings are secured with fully engineered fixings, reducing the likelihood that awnings, signage, or similar elements become detached during tornado events.
- 3) Large doors are reinforced, which improves resistance to extreme wind pressure and reduces the risk of damage during tornado events.
- 4) No equipment is stored on the roof, eliminating the risk of unsecured rooftop items becoming dislodged during tornado events.
- 5) An emergency procedure for tornadoes was carried out alongside this report.

### 4.3.4. Overall Risk Analysis

Based on the exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity analyses, the overall risk of tropical cyclones to the asset and its users at present, is **medium**, with no future projections. The risk of tornadoes can be reduced through implementing the recommendations in Section 4.7.2.

## 4.4. Heavy Precipitation

### 4.4.1. Exposure Analysis

For precipitation, Table 8 outlines in more detail the evolution of variables under different scenarios and time horizons. As seen, most variables show an increase.

Table 8. Precipitation Variables (Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence).

Variable	Year	SSP2/RCP4.5	SSP3/RCP7.0	SSP5/RCP8.5
Maximum Daily Precipitation (mm)	Present		40.2	
	2030	43.9	43.4	44.2

	<b>2040</b>	44.4	44.1	44.2
	<b>2050</b>	44.9	44.7	45.2
	<b>2100</b>	46.3	48.5	49.1
<b>5-day High Precipitation (mm)</b>	<b>Present</b>		76.5	
	<b>2030</b>	79.2	79.0	81.3
	<b>2040</b>	79.5	79.8	80.3
	<b>2050</b>	81.0	79.4	82.9
	<b>2100</b>	81.8	84.2	83.8
<b>Days Above 10mm Precipitation</b>	<b>Present</b>		19.8	
	<b>2030</b>	20.4	20.3	20.3
	<b>2040</b>	20.3	20.4	20.4
	<b>2050</b>	20.4	20.6	20.7
	<b>2100</b>	21.0	21.2	21.4
<b>Extreme Wet Day Precipitation (annual sum of precipitation on days with more precipitation than in the 1% wettest days 1995-2014 [mm])</b>	<b>Present</b>		63.4	
	<b>2030</b>	87.0	80.4	85.7
	<b>2040</b>	83.8	83.7	89.2
	<b>2050</b>	84.4	97.8	97.6
	<b>2100</b>	102.3	117.0	126.7
<b>Annual Precipitation</b>	<b>Present</b>		914.9	
	<b>2030</b>	931.4	923.3	925.2
	<b>2040</b>	929.6	922.3	928.0
	<b>2050</b>	923.5	932.7	921.6
	<b>2100</b>	934.9	904.2	881.6
<b>Total Precipitation Range (between months [mm])</b>	<b>Present</b>		128.9	
	<b>2030</b>	132.3	132.9	129.1
	<b>2040</b>	129.7	129.0	131.5
	<b>2050</b>	127.0	127.9	127.9
	<b>2100</b>	130.7	130.5	123.4

#### 4.4.2. Sensitivity Analysis

This stage of the analysis determined that the asset's sensitivity to precipitation is **medium**. This score was determined through a series of asset specific enquiries to the asset management team to ascertain any preventative measures already in place to mitigate the exposure risk, particularly regarding the building plot or fabric and its users. A lower rating was not awarded due to various precipitation sensitive features of the asset:

- 1) The urban topography of the area surrounding the asset prevents effective drainage of rainwater.
- 2) Having a floor below ground level could make this area susceptible to acute rainwater damage or chronic damage due to accumulation of moisture leading to mould and other issues.
- 3) Technical rooms below the ground floor may be more prone to damage if excessive rainwater enters the building.
- 4) The absence of a gradient near the built area could prevent water from flowing away and is therefore more likely to allow in surface water flooding.
- 5) The absence of wet floodproofing methods such as flood vents to prevent water from entering in the building.
- 6) The flat roof may allow rainwater to pool and destroy proofing details.
- 7) The type of foundations the asset is built on is unknown. In areas exposed to extreme precipitation, piled foundations generally provide better resilience against related ground hazards such as subsidence.

Features which enhance the asset's resilience and reduce its sensitivity to precipitation include:

- 1) The local Elbe River defences reduce the probability of the asset being damaged due to flash flooding caused by regional-level excess precipitation.

- 2) Sandy loam soil makes the asset less vulnerable to precipitation due to moderate permeability and good drainage.
- 3) The power supply is below ground; therefore, if frequent precipitations occur, there will be a lower chance of power cuts.
- 4) The asset is equipped with a ventilation system designed to prevent the build-up of moisture within the building.

#### 4.4.3. Adaptive Capacity Risk Analysis

The adaptive capacity analysis defines and highlights the features present or absent at the asset which consequently affect the overall risk of the building and its users. This stage of the analysis determines that the risk of precipitation to the asset from an adaptive capacity perspective is **medium**. A lower score was not awarded due to the following precipitation vulnerable features:

- 1) The very low proportion of total vegetated area (5%) on site decreases the capacity for excess precipitation absorption, hence increasing the vulnerability of the asset to this hazard.
- 2) Absence of an asset or corporate health and safety policy that covers precipitation risk.
- 3) The absence of weather monitoring or early warning systems.
- 4) The areas on or below ground level are not permanently protected against flood water penetration.
- 5) The absence of flood defences and soft risk reduction measures on site increases the risk of damage due to flash flooding from excessive precipitation.
- 6) The absence of a rainwater harvesting system or excess water storage system for emergencies means that the asset is less prepared for extreme weather events, increasing the risk of water accumulation.
- 7) The absence of permeable paving and blue roof designs on site that could aid the effective water drainage during periods of heavy precipitation.
- 8) The absence of natural drainage systems such as swales or attenuation ditches may enhance the asset's vulnerability against excessive precipitation.

Features that enhance the adaptive capacity of the asset to precipitation include:

- 1) The robustness of the structure (confirmed during structural audits) increases the probability that the building is able to withstand extreme precipitation events.
- 2) The fact that the local area subscribes to a flood warning system may help reduce the risk of property and infrastructure damage due to excess rainfall by supporting earlier preparation and response.
- 3) The presence of gutters and downpipes that direct runoff away from building foundations.
- 4) The asset is equipped with an alternative power source which can be utilised in case of damage to the main grid or service lines.
- 5) The presence of green roof designs on site enhances stormwater retention and reduces peak runoff during heavy precipitation.
- 6) Annual inspection and maintenance support the timely identification of potential vulnerabilities or damage that could compromise the building's weather resistance.
- 7) An emergency procedure for heavy precipitation was carried out alongside this report.

#### 4.4.4. Overall Risk Analysis

Based on the exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity analyses, the overall risk of precipitation to the asset and its users at present, and projected through to 2100, is **medium**. The risk of precipitation can be reduced through implementing the recommendations in Section 4.7.2.

## 4.5. Hail

### 4.5.1. Exposure Analysis

Hailstorms cause extensive damage to agriculture as well as to buildings and vehicles. Heavy hailstorms are usually triggered by wide cold fronts. Occasionally, local hot weather thunderstorms, a result of intense insolation over land or mountain slopes, also lead to severe localised hailstorms.

As seen in Table 6, the exposure of Centrum Galerie Dresden's location to hail at present is **medium**, with no future projections of exposure under any scenario available.

### 4.5.2. Sensitivity Analysis

This stage of the analysis determined that the asset's sensitivity to hail is **low**. This score was determined through a series of asset specific enquiries to the asset management team to ascertain any preventative measures already in place to mitigate the exposure risk, particularly regarding the building plot or fabric and its users. The only hail-sensitive feature identified at the asset was:

- 1) The flat roof allows less efficient drainage of hailstones, thereby increasing the potential for damage to proofing details.

Features which enhance the asset's resilience and reduce its sensitivity to hail include:

- 1) Externally facing windows installed at the asset are double-glazed, making the asset more resilient against hailstorms.
- 2) The asset has been designed with adequate protection/insulation from potential hailstone impact.
- 3) The power supply, other utilities and systems are mostly below ground, which makes them less susceptible to storm damage.
- 4) There are roof overhangs present to protect walls and windows in the case of a hailstorm.
- 5) The roof material (concrete) is relatively resilient against potential hailstorm impact.

### 4.5.3. Adaptive Capacity Risk Analysis

The adaptive capacity analysis defines and highlights the features present or absent at the asset which consequently affect the overall risk of the building and its users. This stage of the analysis determines that the risk of hail to the asset from an adaptive capacity perspective is **medium**. A lower rating was not awarded due to the following hail vulnerable features:

- 1) The absence of a health and safety policy for the asset with specific reference to hailstorms or extreme weather events makes it more vulnerable to such events.
- 2) The absence of an early warning system that can help with preventing against damage to the asset and its users.
- 3) Less frequent roof maintenance (less than once a year) limits assurance that rooftop equipment is properly anchored, thereby increasing the risk of injuries and damage to the asset from loose rooftop equipment or cladding potentially working free.
- 4) The absence of protective hail screens present and ready to be placed on any externally facing glazing means it will be less prepared in the case of extreme events.

Features which enhance the adaptive capacity of the asset to hail include:

- 1) The presence of impact resistant gutters and downspouts to direct rainwater/meltwater away from building's foundations.
- 2) The presence of an on-site backup generator means that the building has lower vulnerability during times of power outages, which may be caused by extreme hailstorms.
- 3) An asset-specific emergency procedure for hail was carried out alongside this report.

### 4.5.4. Overall Risk Analysis

Based on the exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity analyses, the overall risk of hail to the asset and its users at present is **medium**, with no future projections. The risk of hail can be reduced through implementing the recommendations in Section 4.7.2.

## 4.6. Flood

### 4.6.1. Exposure Analysis

In Munich Re, the hazard of flooding is assessed as storm surges (coastal flooding), river floods (fluvial flooding) and flash floods (pluvial flooding) using a weighting scheme to aggregate the hazard scores of the underlying flood models.

Figure 1 is a flood risk map from Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence which shows that the asset is not exposed to flooding from coastal, fluvial or pluvial sources (**immaterial**). Note that the exposure to these flood sources is not material at present or under any SSP/RCP scenario from present day through to 2100. Whilst more potential inundation is projected to the north-east of the asset, it is insignificant and so the location, and its operations, remain at immaterial exposure.

Note that in addition to the flood exposure analysis conducted using Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence’s modelling, local sources were consulted which confirmed the finding of immaterial exposure to sources of flooding (wasser.sachsen.de).




Figure 1. Flooding exposure risk for the asset at present under SSP2/RCP4.5 (Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence).

## 4.7. Overall Physical Risk

### 4.7.1. Physical Risk Scores

The overall risk for each material hazard is summarised in Table 9.

Table 9. Overall Risk of the Material (at present) Hazards.

Hazard	Present	SSP2/RCP4.5				SSP3/RCP7				SSP5/RCP8.5			
		2030	2040	2050	2100	2030	2040	2050	2100	2030	2040	2050	2100
Cold Wave / Frost	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Temperature Variability	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Tornado	Medium												
Heavy Precipitation	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Hail	Medium												

## 4.7.2. Physical Risk Recommendations

Due to the location having material exposure at present to cold wave / frost and temperature variability, tornado, heavy precipitation and hail, the following implementations (Table 10), in order of relative priority are recommended to reduce the vulnerability of the asset.

Table 10. Adaptation Action Recommendations.

Recommendation	Additional Information	Associated Risks	Estimated Duration	Complexity	Potential Costs
<b>Maintenance and monitoring of water pipes</b>	Ensure that water pipes are monitored and maintained at least once a year to check for any potential damage due to freeze-thaw. This will reduce the risk of catastrophic damages.	Cold Wave / Frost	<6 months	Easy	Internal resourcing and general operating expenditure (OPEX)
<b>Increase vegetated outdoor area</b>	Increase vegetated outdoor areas where feasible to improve drainage, reduce surface water runoff and enhance protection from cold winds.	Cold Wave / Frost and Heavy precipitation	6-12 months	Medium	Local provider consultation necessary
<b>Regularly inspect roof</b>	Implement more frequent roof inspections (at least annually) ensuring safe rooftop equipment.	Tornado and Hail	<6 months	Easy	Internal resourcing
<b>Permeable paving and natural drainage systems</b>	Install permeable paving or natural drainage systems to reduce runoff and improve on-site drainage during heavy rainfall.	Heavy precipitation	<6 months	Medium	€80–180/m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Develop an asset or corporate-level health and safety policy</b>	A health and safety policy provides multiple benefits, including raising awareness and promoting preventive action in the event of natural hazards.	Cold Wave / Frost, Tornado and Hail	<6 months	Medium	Internal resourcing
<b>Weather monitoring and early warning system</b>	Implement weather monitoring and early warning systems applicable for tornados, heavy precipitation and hail.	Tornado, Heavy precipitation and Hail	<6 months	Medium	Internal resourcing
<b>Installation of protective hail screens</b>	Install protective hail screens over vulnerable glazed areas or other exposed asset features.	Hail	1-2 months	Medium	€80–200/m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Installation of thermal curtains</b>	Install internal thermal curtains or blinds over all glazing and ensure that they are closed	Cold Wave / Frost	3 months	Medium	€150–400 per m <sup>2</sup> of glazing

	during cold periods, especially at night.				
<b>Installation of storm shutters</b>	Install storm shutters to close over windows during times of strong winds.	Tornado	1-2 months	Medium	€350-1200 (electric shutters)
<b>Flood defences</b>	Implement temporary/moveable flood barriers in and around the asset.	Heavy precipitation	1-2 months	Easy	€200-250
<b>Soft flood mitigation measures</b>	Implement soft flood mitigation measures such as sandbags, flood boards or air brick covers.	Heavy precipitation	1-2 months	Easy	€25-25 (sandless/ water activated barriers) €20-35 (air brick covers)
<b>Install rainwater harvesting system</b>	Install rainwater harvesting systems and excess water storage systems to collect and store excess rainfall and reducing the risk of water accumulation.	Heavy precipitation	3 months	Hard	€5,000–20,000+ depending on tank size, filtration, pumps and connection to building services
<b>Heated driveways/walkways /roofs</b>	Install heating systems for external surfaces to prevent ice build-up and maintain safe access during freezing conditions.	Cold Wave / Frost	6-12 months	Hard	€150–300/m <sup>2</sup> installed for electric systems; hydronic systems can be higher

## 5. TRANSITION RISK ASSESSMENT

### 5.1. Exposure Analysis

#### 5.1.1. Policy and Regulation Exposure Risk

The exposure of Centrum Galerie Dresden to policy-related transition risk was determined through a national and local level legislation review of relevant climate change and environmental policies. A country with stringent legislation regarding climate change adaptation and resilience, energy consumption, and transparency and reporting is considered a high exposure risk scenario for an asset.

Table 11 outlines legislation relevant to the asset. As previously stated, high exposure does not equate high risk, as the vulnerability of the asset to said legislation is given equal consideration. Based on the legislation review, the exposure of Centrum Galerie Dresden to policy and legislation risk is **high**.

Table 11. Overview of Legislative Exposure.

Regulations	Measures	Implications	Exposure Level
<b>Building Energy Act / GEG (2024 update)</b>	Sets requirements for building energy quality, energy certificates, and renewable heat; since 1 January 2024, new heating in new-build areas must use at least 65% renewable energy.	Major refurbishments and plant replacement should follow a low-carbon heat pathway. Existing district heating reduces immediate boiler-replacement risk.	<b>Very high</b>
<b>EU Energy Performance of Buildings Directive / EPBD recast (2024)</b>	Requires Member States to renovate the 16% worst-performing non-residential buildings by 2030 and 26% by 2033; also accelerates solar-readiness / solar deployment on buildings.	Strong future retrofit pressure for poorly performing retail centres, especially assets with high HVAC and lighting demand and large roofs suitable for PV.	<b>Very high</b>
<b>Building Electromobility Infrastructure Act / GEIG (2021; 2025 trigger for existing buildings)</b>	Existing non-residential buildings with more than 20 parking spaces must provide at least one charge point after 1 January 2025. Major renovations of non-residential buildings with more than 10 spaces trigger additional conduit and charge-point obligations.	Likely relevant because a shopping mall will usually exceed parking thresholds, requiring charger rollout and electrical upgrades.	<b>High</b>
<b>Energy Efficiency Act / EnEFG (2023)</b>	Companies with an average total final energy use above 7.5 GWh/year over the last three calendar years must implement an energy or environmental management system. Further obligations apply from 2.5 GWh/year, including implementation planning and waste-heat related duties.	This asset's scale and recorded energy use make formal energy management, metering, and savings plans more likely.	<b>High</b>
<b>Heat Planning Act / WPG and Dresden heat planning (2024 onward)</b>	Municipalities with more than 100,000 residents must produce a heat plan by 30 June 2026. Dresden is above that threshold. Municipal heat planning to guide local low-carbon heat networks and future supply options.	Relevant for long-term district-heating strategy, but less immediate than GEG or EnEFG.	<b>Medium</b>
<b>German fuel emissions trading (BEHG) and transition to EU ETS 2 (2021–2028)</b>	Germany's national carbon pricing for heating fuels is being supplemented on the way to EU ETS 2 for buildings and transport; ETS 2 becomes fully operational in 2027, with the regulatory phase starting in 2028. Increases cost pressure on fossil-based heat and energy use.	Exposure is moderated by the district-heating connection, but energy-cost pressure still supports efficiency upgrades.	<b>Medium</b>
<b>CSRD / ESRS and EU Taxonomy disclosure framework</b>	Large / listed companies must publish sustainability reporting; first CSRD reporters started with FY2024 reporting, while the 2025 "stop-the-clock" directive delayed first-time reporting for later waves. Taxonomy Article 8 disclosures have applied since 1 January 2022.	Mainly an investor and lender data issue rather than direct building compliance.	<b>Low</b>
<b>Overall Exposure</b>			<b>High</b>

### 5.1.2. Market Exposure Risk

The evolution of market preferences and developments can drive a risk in itself, in addition to decarbonisation targets. Each market, as determined by geography and asset-type, transitions towards a lower carbon economy at different rates depending on the climate, grid and usage demands, as well as Nationally Determined Contributions in line with the COP21 Paris Agreement. The scale of this transition, or decarbonisation and energy reduction pathway, creates an exposure rating for the asset itself.

The energy intensity reduction required for Centrum Galerie Dresden from the Retail, Shopping Centre, Germany pathway between 2024 to 2050 is 50%. This is therefore considered a **medium** exposure risk.




Figure 2. Decarbonisation and Energy Reduction Pathway for Centrum Galerie Dresden (Retail, Shopping Centre, Germany).

### 5.1.3. Technology Exposure Risk

There is the possibility that assets which are particularly vulnerable to climate change will become less desirable over time, and technologies within assets may become obsolete if they do not remain competitive and compliant to the market conditions. Associated impacts that could result include:

- 1) Increased cost of business due to compliance measures.
- 2) Increased taxes from climate policies such as carbon taxes.
- 3) Increased costs of resources such as energy and water.
- 4) Reduced economic activity in vulnerable markets.
- 5) Reduced occupier demand for properties.
- 6) Reduced asset value.

The technologies considered to have the most significant exposure for Centrum Galerie Dresden based on their competitiveness and regulations in Germany are automatic meter readings, rated as a very high exposure risk, and EV charging points at a very high exposure risk, as described below. Across all technologies, the risk exposure is considered to be **high**.

#### Automatic Meter Readings

Since January 2020, it has been compulsory for buildings consuming 6,000 to 100,000 kWh/year to install smart meters. If the building has any conventional meters still installed, these need to be replaced with smart meters.

The installation of smart meters is primarily the responsibility of the metering point operator, who have 8 years from 21 January 2020 to convert all subject metering points to smart meters. By 2032, the Metering Point Operation Act requires all households to have a smart meter.

#### Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Points

The Building Electric Mobility Infrastructure Act outlines key provisions relating to the installation of electric vehicle charging infrastructure in new and existing buildings. Key provisions include the following:

- Non-Residential
  - Existing non-residential buildings with more than 20 parking spaces have to be equipped with at least one charging point for electric vehicles.
  - New non-residential buildings with more than 6 parking spaces must equip 1 in 3 spaces with infrastructure for future charging stations and at least one additional charging station
- Residential
  - New residential buildings with more than 5 parking spaces must install infrastructure for future EV charging stations for each space.
  - Residential buildings undergoing major renovations with more than 10 spaces must install infrastructure for future EV charging stations for each space.

### Smart Building Technology

Under the Gebäudeenergiegesetz (GEG), non-residential buildings with heating, combined heating/ventilation, air-conditioning, or combined air-conditioning/ventilation systems above 290 kW nominal output must be equipped with building automation and control systems. The law requires digital monitoring of energy use, analysis of energy performance, and the ability to communicate with connected technical building systems.

### Natural Gas Boilers

Germany's Energy Saving Ordinance (EnEV) mandated inspections for heating and air-conditioning systems in buildings, with specific requirements for system size and fuel types, in accordance with EPBD guidelines.

The Building Energy Act prohibits the installation of new oil and gas heating systems and aims to ban all heating systems using fossil fuels by 2045. However, this law is currently being revised.

All new heating systems installed in buildings must be at least 65% powered by renewable energy sources. The timeline of this requirement is based on building location and existing buildings. Newly installed heating systems in a new development area must meet the threshold from 2024.

Existing buildings and new buildings outside of new development areas, the obligation only applies from the following dates:

30 June 2026: in municipal areas with > 100,000 inhabitants

30 June 2028: in municipal areas with < 100,000 inhabitants

### Onsite Renewable Energy

Under the German Act on Renewable Energy (Erneuerbare-Energien-Gesetz or EEG), operators of renewable energy plants can receive certain subsidies in the form of the market bonus (Marktprämie), the tenant electricity surcharge (Mieterstromzuschlag) or the feed-in tariff (Einspeisevergütung). This includes photovoltaic systems on rooftops.

The most notable incentive is that the German government has approved a new zero VAT rate for the supply and installation of solar modules. This means that operators of private PV systems will no longer have to pay VAT on the purchase or installation of their systems.

The tax relief was implemented on January 1, 2023, for single-family residential and commercial photovoltaic (PV) and battery systems up to 30 kWp. For multifamily and mixed-use buildings, the exemption applies to solar systems up to 15 kWp per residential or commercial unit but is limited to up to 100 kW per taxpayer.

### Green Energy Contracts

Many utility providers in Germany offer renewable energy contracts, including:

- Major providers: E.ON, Vattenfall, and EnBW all offer renewable energy plans
- Green energy companies: Companies like E Wie Einfach, EMB, GASAG, Ostrom, Qcells, and Yello offer green electricity

## 5.1.4. Reputation Exposure Risk

The exposure of Centrum Galerie Dresden to reputation-related transition risk was determined by assessing the trends identified in the Retail, Shopping Centre sector in Germany. These trends look at how consumers and stakeholders respond to various climate-related transitions in a way that also impacts the asset. Understanding this and meeting stakeholder values will impact customer satisfaction, thereby improving the reputation of the asset in the market, which is essential for long-term success.

Table 12 outlines the reputational risks associated with changing markets and user preferences due to the transition to a low carbon economy and highlights current trends and the impacts these could have on Centrum Galerie Dresden. These user-driven shifts in behaviours pose **high** reputational exposure risk to the asset.

Table 12. Reputation Exposure of Retail, Shopping Centre in Germany.

Trends	Reputation Analysis
<b>Rising Consumer Expectations</b>	Consumers increasingly demand transparency on climate impact, making retailers reputationally exposed if they lag on sustainability.
<b>Tenant and Brand Risk Translates into Landlord Risk</b>	As retail brands face climate backlash, landlords that host them are also criticised for enabling unsustainable operations.
<b>Green Building Certifications</b>	Assets with certifications like LEED or BREEAM are seen as reputationally safer, while those uncertified risk being perceived as outdated or irresponsible.
<b>Urban and Flagship Stores Under Greater Scrutiny</b>	High-visibility locations amplify reputational risks, with climate-related criticism more likely to attract public and media attention.
<b>NGO and Activist Pressure</b>	Retailers are prime NGO targets given their consumer reach, creating reputational spillovers for property owners and investors.
<b>Integration of ESG into Investor Due Diligence</b>	Investors now factor retail climate reputational risks into capital allocation; penalising assets linked to unsustainable practices.

## 5.2. Vulnerability Analysis

The vulnerability analysis examines aspects of Centrum Galerie Dresden which are vulnerable to the transition to a low carbon economy. This is analysed over the key themes below:

- Policy and Legal: this concerns the procedures in place to measure and disclose environmental impact in line with climate legislation, as well as installed features that aid legislative compliance.
- Market: this includes the energy performance at the asset, as a result of the building services such as heating, cooling and lighting and the alignment to natural and asset-type decarbonisation pathways as determined through the CRREM analysis.
- Technology: this includes the installations and technologies used at the asset and their relative vulnerability to policy and market trends.
- Reputation: this considers the building features and services in place and their vulnerability to stakeholder demands and user preferences.

This stage of the analysis determined the asset's vulnerability to transition risk from the transition-related risks. These scores were determined through analysis of asset-specific features and policies in place. Relevant recommendations have been presented below for medium or higher risk criteria to improve the resilience of the asset.

### 5.2.1. Market Vulnerability

#### 5.2.1.1. CRREM Misalignment Year Analysis

Market risk analysis provides an evaluation of an asset's relative position compared to the local market from a transition risk perspective, specifically addressing alignment to COP21-recognised decarbonisation pathways (1.5°C trajectory) as determined from CRREM. This manifests itself as the year in which an asset becomes misaligned from CRREM pathways; comparing the asset's future carbon performance with the target decarbonisation pathways for a retail shopping centre asset in Germany.

Figure 3 illustrates that the asset performance will become misaligned from the CRREM pathways in **2043** and so it is projected that from 2043 the asset will not reach the decarbonisation target for greenhouse gas emissions intensity. Carbon emissions will need to be reduced in order to achieve compliance with national

targets. In order to improve this outlook, Centrum Galerie Dresden should undergo an energy audit to determine how best to update the type of cooling equipment, lighting fittings, and insulation, alongside any other energy efficiency improvement measures that can be made within the asset.




Figure 3. Centrum Galerie Dresden 1.5°C Trajectory Misalignment Diagram: The graph above illustrates both the decarbonisation pathway (green line) and the misalignment year of Centrum Galerie Dresden, following a 1.5°C trajectory. The asset will become misaligned from the CRREM pathways in 2043, based on 2024 data.

**5.2.1.2. Carbon Costs of Excess Emissions**

Figure 4 illustrates the “Carbon Costs of Excess Emissions after Misalignment” diagram for Centrum Galerie Dresden following a 1.5°C trajectory. The red zone indicates the costs of excess emissions above the decarbonisation target, whereas the green zone is the savings from reduced emissions below the target.




Figure 4. Carbon Costs of Excess Emissions after Misalignment Graph: The graph above illustrates the carbon price of excess emissions of Centrum Galerie Dresden.

### 5.2.1.3. Cost of Energy and Carbon Emissions

Figure 5 illustrates the cost of energy and carbon emissions of Centrum Galerie Dresden under a 1.5°C trajectory. Electricity dominates the majority of the annual costs. Between the year 2024 and 2050, the annual cost of energy is projected to increase from €1,292,901 to €2,308,690 (an overall increase of 78.55%), based on 2024 data. Purchasing all electricity consumption through a renewable contract and implementing onsite generation could result in greater savings from energy costs by becoming less dependent on the grid whilst contributing towards its national decarbonisation.




Figure 5. Centrum Galerie Dresden - Cost of Energy and Carbon Emissions.

### 5.2.1.4. Decarbonisation Targets

The targets used in this assessment are calculated from the CRREM v2 GHG and energy use intensity pathways.

Table 13 proposes the absolute greenhouse gas targets for two decarbonisation scenarios for asset name from a baseline 2024 value of 12 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/m<sup>2</sup>/yr, using a location-based emission analysis.

Table 13. Greenhouse Gas intensity reduction targets.

Year	CRREM 2050 1.5°C Trajectory			CRREM 2040 1.5°C Trajectory		
	Absolute emissions (kgCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr)	Intensity Emissions (kgCO <sub>2</sub> e/m <sup>2</sup> /yr)	Percentage target reduction (from 2024 baseline)	Absolute emissions (kgCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr)	Intensity Emissions (kgCO <sub>2</sub> e/m <sup>2</sup> /yr)	Percentage target reduction (from 2024 baseline)
2024	1,281,504	12.0	0.0%	1,281,504	12.0	0.0%
2025	1,178,722	10.0	8.0%	1,170,985	11.0	8.6%
2030	708,506	5.9	44.7%	665,374	6.2	48.1%
2035	372,588	3.0	70.9%	304,169	2.8	76.3%
2040	152,723	1.3	88.1%	67,753	0.6	94.7%
2045	95,431	0.8	92.6%	-	-	-
2050	67,753	0.6	94.7%	-	-	-

The asset's energy intensity has been assessed against the CRREM 1.5°C decarbonisation pathway for 2030, 2040 and 2050. The results indicate that the asset already performs below the CRREM 2050 target threshold. Consequently, no additional reductions are required to achieve compliance with the CRREM pathway. The asset can therefore be considered fully aligned with CRREM targets, provided its current performance is maintained through to 2050.

## 5.2.2. Policy and Technology Vulnerability

Table 14. Vulnerability Analysis for Policy, Technology and Market.

Vulnerability Analysis				
Procedure	Asset Vulnerability	Sensitivity Rating	Recommendation	Associated Risk
<b>Cooling Equipment</b>	Centrum Galerie Dresden uses chillers for its climate control, with the refrigerants R410A and R407C.	<b>HIGH</b>	Assess whether it is possible to replace current cooling systems with newer technologies that use environmentally friendly refrigerants (global warming potentials of less than 10).	Policy and Legal, Market, Technology
<b>Glazing type</b>	The asset features double-glazed windows as the main type.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Consider installing triple-glazed windows to the asset.	Market, Technology
<b>Refurbishment Date</b>	The asset was most recently refurbished in 2014.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Consider assessing opportunities for targeted refurbishment upgrades to improve energy efficiency and align the asset with current sustainability standards.	Market, Technology
<b>Heating Equipment</b>	The heating equipment of the asset is powered by district heating.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Policy and Legal, Market, Technology
<b>EPC</b>	The asset has a valid EPC.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Policy and Legal
<b>Energy Data Coverage and Availability</b>	Energy data is available for the whole asset for the whole year.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Market
<b>Asset Adaptability</b>	The building was designed with some degree of flexibility regarding its use or layout.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Policy and Legal, Market
<b>Glazing g-values</b>	The glazing g-values are unknown.	N/A	N/A	Market
Procedure	Asset Vulnerability	Adaptive Capacity Risk Rating	Recommendation	Associated Risk

<b>PV and Renewable Energy</b>	The asset has no PV or other renewable energy installed on-site.	<b>HIGH</b>	Assess the feasibility of installing renewable energy sources and implement the most profitable one.	Policy and Legal, Market, Technology
<b>Refrigerant</b>	The Global Warming Potential of the refrigerant currently used for the cooling system is 1774.	<b>HIGH</b>	Replace the refrigerant of the cooling system with a more environmentally friendly one, with a lower GWP.	Policy and Legal, Market, Technology
<b>Energy Audit</b>	No Energy Audit has been conducted for Centrum Galerie Dresden.	<b>HIGH</b>	Conduct an Energy Audit.	Policy and Legal, Market, Technology
<b>EV (Electric Vehicle) Charging Stations</b>	Centrum Galerie Dresden does host four EV charging stations.	<b>HIGH</b>	Increase EV charging infrastructure to 10-20% of total parking spaces, with additional pre-cabling to support future expansion.	Policy and Legal, Market, Technology
<b>Smart technologies</b>	Centrum Galerie Dresden does not use any smart technologies to track energy usage.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Use smart technologies to track the grid and use energy-intensive appliances when it is the cheapest/most renewable.	Market, Technology
<b>Cooling System Sizing</b>	The cooling system has not been sized using future climate scenarios.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Review cooling system sizing against future climate scenarios and upgrade if needed.	Market, Technology
<b>Water Consumption Intensity</b>	Centrum Galerie Dresden's water consumption intensity is unknown; however, some water appliances are low water consumption.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	The asset should target a water intensity of less than 0.5 m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> /yr.	Market
<b>Climate Risk Management Strategy</b>	Centrum Galerie Dresden has no corporate risk management process that takes climate risk into consideration.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Consider implementing an asset-level Climate Risk Management Strategy or Governance structure.	Policy and Legal
<b>Education of Tenants</b>	Environmental impact is discussed with tenants.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Encourage consideration of and require compliance to reduce environmental impact from tenants.	Policy and Legal
<b>Lighting Type</b>	About 50% of the lighting is fitted with LED light bulbs.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Upgrade remaining lighting to achieve 100% LED coverage across the asset.	Market, Technology
<b>Lighting Control</b>	Any internal, office or display nights are not left on at night.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Market, Technology

<b>Alternative Power Source</b>	There is an alternative power source present on-site.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Market, Technology
<b>HVAC occupancy sensors</b>	There are occupancy sensors for the HVAC system.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Market, Technology
<b>Green Energy Contract</b>	Centrum Galerie Dresden has a green energy contract .	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Market, Technology
<b>PPA</b>	Centrum Galerie Dresden has a PPA.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Policy and Legal
<b>EPC</b>	There is an EPC available for the asset. However, this expires in 2029.	<b>LOW</b>	Ensure that you assess the energy performance of the asset again, before the active EPC expires.	Policy and Legal
<b>Sustainability Strategy</b>	There is a corporate-level Sustainability Strategy in place.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Policy and Legal
<b>Scope 1, 2 and 3 Footprinting</b>	Centrum Galerie Dresden reports on its Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions with deepki <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scope 1 and 2 emissions reporting ensure improved transparency, investor confidence and demonstrate climate engagement</li> </ul>	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Policy and Legal
<b>Sustainable Procurement Policy</b>	There is an asset-level or corporate-level sustainable procurement policy.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Policy and Legal
<b>Sustainability/Climate Risk Governance Structure</b>	There is a Sustainability Risk Governance Structure in place for Centrum Galerie Dresden.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Policy and Legal
<b>BMS</b>	BMS energy management system is present on-site.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Market, Technology
<b>Green Building Certification</b>	The asset has a valid BREEAM-In-Use Certification =.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Market
<b>Occupancy/Daylight Sensors</b>	Occupancy and daylight sensors are present on site.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A	Market, Technology
<b>Free Cooling Strategies</b>	Free Cooling Strategies are available on some systems =.	<b>LOW</b>	Extend free cooling strategies to all applicable systems.	Technology

### 5.2.3. Reputation Vulnerability

Table 15. Reputational Vulnerability Analysis.

Vulnerability Analysis			
Procedure	Asset Vulnerability	Vulnerability Rating	Recommendation
<b>Vegetation and Greenspace</b>	Limited outdoor vegetation (5% of site area).	<b>HIGH</b>	Improve the green image of the asset by increasing the proportion of outdoor green space. For example planters and green walls.
<b>EV Charging Points</b>	There are 4 onsite EV charging stations.	<b>HIGH</b>	Increase EV charging infrastructure to 10-20% of total parking spaces, with additional pre-cabling to support future expansion.
<b>Community Hub/ Refuge Point</b>	The asset is not used as a community hub for community meetings or as a refuge point.	<b>HIGH</b>	Improve the community engagement of the asset by establishing partnerships with local organisations for community meetings and emergency refuge use.
<b>Multiple Waste Streams</b>	Centrum Galerie Dresden has only 3 different waste streams.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Increase the number of separate waste streams on site to improve waste segregation and recycling performance.
<b>Tenant Communication about Environmental Impact</b>	The environmental impacts are discussed with the occupier; however, compliance is not required.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Encourage consideration of and require compliance to reduce environmental impact from tenants.
<b>Onsite Renewable Generation</b>	The asset has no on-site renewable energy generation installations.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	A PV feasibility study should be conducted and installing onsite renewable generation should be considered.
<b>Records of Past Events</b>	Records of resilient features against extreme climate/ weather events as well as past event's impacts are not kept.	<b>MEDIUM</b>	Establish a formal process to document and periodically review building resilience features and past climate-related incidents to inform risk management and future adaptation planning.
<b>Occupancy / Daylight Sensors</b>	Some areas are equipped with energy-saving sensors that reduce energy consumption.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A
<b>Heating Equipment Fuel</b>	The heating system consists of district heating.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A
<b>Green Building Certification</b>	The asset has a valid BREEAM-In-Use Certification.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A
<b>Parking</b>	At Centrum Galerie Dresden, parking spaces are available.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A
<b>Transparency on Environmental Impact</b>	A corporate Sustainability Report or sustainability section is publicly available.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A
<b>Bicycle Storage</b>	There are bike racks provided for asset users onsite.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A

<b>Drinking Water Fountains or Dispensers</b>	Drinking water fountains or dispensers accessible for all asset users.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A
<b>Asset/ Corporate Sustainability Targets</b>	There is an asset/ corporate sustainability policy.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A
<b>Wider Public Transport Connections</b>	Wider public transport infrastructure is available within 5km.	<b>LOW</b>	N/A
<b>Access to Public Transportation</b>	Public transportation includes Prager Straße tram and bus station and Dresden Central station.	<b>VERY LOW</b>	N/A

### 5.3. Overall Transition Risk

Table 16 displays the overall transition risk based on the exposure and vulnerability analyses.

Table 16. Overall Risk – Transition Risk.

Hazard	Exposure	Sensitivity	Adaptive Capacity	Overall Risk
Policy and Legal	<b>HIGH</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>
Market	<b>MEDIUM</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>		<b>MEDIUM</b>
Technology	<b>HIGH</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>
Reputation	<b>HIGH</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>		<b>MEDIUM</b>

## 6. SOCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The social implications of physical and transition climate risks on real estate are significant. These implications can affect the economic stability, safety, and well-being of individuals and communities, as well as the financial performance and sustainability of real estate assets. Table 17 provides an overview of such implications.

Table 17. Social Implications of Physical and Transition Climate-related Risks.

Category	Social Implication	Explanation
Health	Increased Morbidity and Mortality	Extreme weather events such as cold waves, tornadoes and flash floods can lead to higher mortality rates and health problems, including respiratory and cardiovascular diseases.
	Vector-Borne Diseases	Changes in temperature and precipitation can expand the range of vector-borne diseases (e.g., malaria, dengue fever), affecting populations that were previously not at risk.
Tenant Disruption	Displacement and Migration	Areas impacted by severe climate events might lead to displacement of communities to less at-risk areas, reducing the tenant retention of at-risk areas.
	Industry Disruptions	Climate events can disrupt industries that are highly reliant on natural resources or are carbon intensive. If tenants represent such industries, it could lead to difficulties in meeting rental payments.
Economic Inequality	Access to Affordable Buildings	As the asset and its surrounding area are upgraded, there is a risk that higher rental values and service costs could place additional pressure on smaller or lower-margin retail, leisure and service occupiers, potentially reducing tenant diversity and affordability.
	Increased Costs	Damage to local infrastructure and rising insurance and operating costs may increase occupancy costs and, indirectly, consumer prices, disproportionately affecting smaller tenants and more price-sensitive visitors.
Social Inequality	Vulnerability of Marginalised Groups	Poorer communities and marginalised groups often have less capacity to adapt to climate impacts, exacerbating existing inequalities. These groups may have less access to resources, information, and support to recover from climate-related events.
Social Disruption	Divestment Campaigns	Activist groups may pressure or boycott investments in non-sustainable real estate assets, leading to financial losses and reputational damage for owners.
	Social Movements	Boycotts organised by activist groups can target specific occupier firms.
	Community Resistance	Local communities may resist developments that do not adhere to sustainability principles, affecting planning approvals and community support for projects.
Service Disruption	Networks and Resources	Damage to transport and communication networks, and energy and water systems, from climate events or market disruptions (i.e. energy prices) can disrupt the functioning of assets and their services.
Strategic Shifts	Training and Awareness	Asset stakeholders will need to develop new strategies to integrate sustainability into their asset management, requiring training and a shift in focus.
	Investment Redirection	Capital may shift from carbon-intensive sectors to climate-resilient assets. Regions reliant on high-emission industries risk economic decline, while green growth areas attract investment and opportunity, potentially widening regional inequalities.

Addressing the social implications of physical and transition climate risks in real estate requires a collaborative approach among all stakeholders. This involves integrating climate resilience and sustainability into planning and investment decisions, prioritising the well-being of building occupiers and

the community, and ensuring that adaptation and transition efforts are equitable and inclusive. Based on the analysis undertaken, Centrum Galerie Dresden has material exposure to cold/frost, temperature variability, tornado, heavy precipitation and hail, which may present the following social-related risks to and from the community and relevant infrastructure. Note that specific vulnerability ratings of very low or low imply that this feature, aspect or practice is already in place at the centre.

*Table 18. Impacts and Recommendations for Social-Related Risks due to Cold Wave / Frost, Temperature Variability, Tornado, Heavy Precipitation and Hail.*

Risks to the Community	Recommendations	Vulnerability
Slip and fall accidents: Flooded sections or icy walkways increase the risk of injuries for customers and employees.	Ensure outdoor walkways are sufficiently gritted to reduce the risk of slip and fall accidents.	<b>HIGH</b>
Reduced customer visits: Heavy rain, hail, tornado activity, extreme cold and icy roads discourage customers from venturing out, leading to a drop-in in-store sale. Furthermore, since the asset mostly fashion clothing, customers may delay non-essential purchases.	Investigate relationships with the local municipality to ensure sufficient gritting of roads during cold weather, and to provide potential additional shuttle buses to ensure the asset remains accessible in times of cold weather extremes whilst also minimising potential disruption to business. Increase the heating in the building, focusing on vulnerable areas. If safe to do so, ensure that building users remain indoors. Avoid areas not heated and dissuade users from isolating.	<b>HIGH</b>
Employee availability: Heavy rain, tornado activity and cold weather can cause transportation delays or accidents, preventing employees from reaching the centre and/or their respective stores. There could also be increased absenteeism due to cold-related illnesses, which could further strain staffing levels. Fewer employees on-site may lead to slower service, decreased productivity and employee burnout, as well as a reduction in sales.		<b>HIGH</b>
Connectivity and transport: The asset is accessible via car and public transport services. During hazards relating to precipitation, tornadoes or cold weather, for example flooded or icy roads increasing the risk of accidents. This may also lead to disruptions to public transport bus links, which could affect daily commutes and emergency response times.		<b>HIGH</b>
Work disruption: Severe precipitation, tornado events or cold may shut down businesses, reducing income and productivity for both employees and employers at the asset	Engage with local authorities to coordinate gritting, transport continuity, and emergency response during extreme weather events. Provide potential additional shuttle buses to ensure the asset remains accessible in times of flooding and cold weather whilst also minimising potential disruption to business.	<b>MEDIUM</b>
Employment challenges: Individuals in hourly jobs at the asset are more likely to lose		<b>MEDIUM</b>

income during severe cold weather due to business closures or transportation issues.		
Health issues: Prolonged exposure to stagnant water or cold temperatures can lead to serious health issues. Those in vulnerable groups such as the elderly, children, and those with pre-existing health conditions, are particularly at risk.	Train staff to recognise these symptoms and/or ensure a health and safety officer is present onsite during cold weather extremes. Consider engaging with food court tenants onsite to provide additional allowances for vulnerable groups, such as discounted hot drinks.	<b>MEDIUM</b>
Energy costs for tenants: Shop owners may face a higher energy burden with potentially increased bills during colder months.	Continue the current dialogue with tenants on energy efficiency practices. Share utility costs transparently and ensure buildings are examined regularly to prevent any cracks that may result in insulation problems.	<b>MEDIUM</b>
Damage to building: Damaged pipes, due to flood events, hail or freezing temperatures, can burst, impacting water supply systems and buildings.	Implement regular winterisation checks of plumbing and building systems, including pipe insulation and frost protection measures. Develop a rapid-response maintenance plan to address burst pipes and water damage, minimising disruption to tenants and operations.	<b>MEDIUM</b>
Power outages: Increased demand for heating in the asset's vicinity can overload electrical grids, leading to outages. Given the asset's supply of heating, power outages may not directly affect the centre itself but may cause an influx of building users seeking warmer environments instead.	Develop contingency plans for temporary outages, including safe evacuation procedures and communication protocols for tenants and visitors.	<b>LOW</b>
Demand for emergency supplies: Retail assets may see spikes in demand for essentials like groceries, medications, space heaters, and blankets. Failing to meet this demand can erode community trust. However, as these supplies are not typically stocked by the existing tenants at the centre, this should not pose a significant risk.	While not a core function, explore partnerships with nearby essential goods providers (e.g. supermarkets, pharmacies) to support community needs during extreme events.	<b>LOW</b>

In addition to the above social implications, it is imperative to integrate community engagement when seeking to enhance climate resilience within and surrounding Centrum Galerie Dresden. Whilst not included in its analysis, this report would recommend the following risk reduction measures to enhance the level of community engagement at Centrum Galerie Dresden:

- 1) The development of a community engagement plan.
  - a) Involve questionnaires or discussion with community members to understand their values and what they believe should be done to improve Centrum Galerie Dresden resilience to climate change.
  - b) It may also include protocols in which Centrum Galerie Dresden can be used to serve the local community, addressing reputational risks associated with the asset.
  - c) Consider use of the asset as a community refuge space for emergencies, for example as a cooling centre during heatwaves or as a shelter during extreme weather events. This should

- only be considered if the asset has sufficient adaptation measures, training and policies in place to do so.
- 2) Foster engagement with existing local climate initiatives.
    - a) Dresden's Integrated Energy and Climate Protection Concept (IEK), together with the city's participation in the EU mission for 100 climate-neutral and smart cities, provides a strong local framework for decarbonisation and operational efficiency. As a retail asset in Dresden, Germany, Centrum Galerie Dresden could use this local momentum to position itself as a market leader by engaging occupiers on energy and emissions reduction, improving building efficiency and delivery/logistics practices, and encouraging lower-carbon customer and staff travel choices.

## 7. VULNERABILITY OF ZONES

Each zone’s vulnerability rating represents a quantification of the vulnerability analysis via the relevant features and policies in place at the asset. These inputs were evaluated against the material hazard categories defined in the scope of this assessment, including, but not limited to, climate-related, operational, and social risks, to determine vulnerability levels across key building systems and management functions.

Figure 6 visualises the relative vulnerability of building elements to the material hazards, highlighting where relative potential vulnerability is greatest and where therefore interventions would yield the most significant resilience gains. This analysis allows for a prioritised approach to risk mitigation, supporting targeted investment in areas of current highest vulnerability.

Based on the aggregated results, the zones identified as having the most significant relative vulnerability are Smart Building Technologies and Technical Equipment. These findings suggest that both digital and operational resilience present key opportunities for enhancement, particularly through improved monitoring, controls, and data visibility, as well as through the strengthening, protection, and long-term adaptation of core building services and plant.




Figure 6. Vulnerability of Zones for Centrum Galerie Dresden.

## 8. FINANCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### 8.1. Financial Implications of Climate Change

Physical risks are the risks posed by climate change that directly impact building through acute extreme weather events and chronic gradual weather patterns. These could pose significant impacts to real estate, including<sup>1</sup>:

- 1) Costs to repair damaged assets or increased maintenance costs from wear and tear
- 2) Increased operating costs due to need for increased resources (energy and water) to adapt to changing climates
- 3) Investment costs into new low-carbon infrastructure and systems
- 4) Asset property and business downtime and business disruption from extreme events
- 5) Potential for increased insurance costs or reduced insurance availability

Transition risks also have the potential for significant financial implications in line with market and technology, policy and regulation and resource availability. These include:

- 1) Reduced economic activity in vulnerable markets
- 2) Reduced occupier demand for properties
- 3) Reduced asset value
- 4) Risk to company brand and reputational damages from negative stakeholder feedback if no action is taken
- 5) Lower liquidity and reduced attractiveness of assets of assets without climate mitigation measures
- 6) Increased cost of business due to compliance measures
- 7) Increased taxes from climate policies such as carbon taxes
- 8) Loss of funding opportunities
- 9) Increased costs of resources such as energy and water

Social implications can also impose costs through the impacts on health, social well-being, livelihoods and prosperity of local communities and individuals. These include:

- 1) Employee injury or illness causing increased health costs and reduced business costs due to time taken off work
- 2) Investment into new systems to ensure safe and comfortable working conditions under increasing climate conditions
- 3) Investment into community engagement and climate initiatives
- 4) Reduced sales from potential increased financial burden of climate change on customers

### 8.2. Financial Risk Assessment

The financial implications of physical risks are analysed below, for transition-related financial implications, refer to the CRREM analysis in Section 5.2.1.1.

Economic impact metrics are categorised into two groups in Munich Re Location Risk Intelligence:

- 1) **Climate Expected Loss** is the average annual loss, i.e. annualised expected loss, due to physical damage to buildings and their contents due to tropical cyclone, extratropical storm, river flood (defended) or storm surge (defended) events. The Climate Expected Loss is measured relative to the total cost of rebuilding, assuming region-specific standard building characteristics which are captured in the vulnerability functions used.
- 2) **The 1-in-100 Year Damage** measures the mean damage degree to an affected asset at a location-specific hazard intensity for tropical cyclone, extratropical storm, river flood (defended) or storm surge (defended) events with a return period of 100 years. This metric indicates the extent of damage to the asset, expressed as a percentage of its rebuilding cost, ranging from 0% to 100% (representing none to total destruction).

---

<sup>1</sup> ULI Heitman Climate Risk Report (2019)

Table 19. Expected Loss and 1-in-100 Year Damage of Physical Hazards.

			Expected Loss (‰)	Damage (%)
<b>Tropical Cyclone</b>	<b>Current</b>		0.00‰	0.00%
	<b>SSP2 / RCP4.5</b>	<b>2030</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2050</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2100</b>	0.00‰	
	<b>SSP5 / RCP8.5</b>	<b>2030</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2050</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2100</b>	0.00‰	
<b>Extratropical Storm</b>	<b>Current</b>		0.01‰	0.10%
<b>River Flood (defended)</b>	<b>Current</b>		0.00‰	0.00%
	<b>SSP2 / RCP4.5</b>	<b>2030</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2050</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2100</b>	0.00‰	
	<b>SSP5 / RCP8.5</b>	<b>2030</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2050</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2100</b>	0.00‰	
<b>Storm Surge (defended)</b>	<b>Current</b>		0.00‰	0.00%
	<b>SSP1 / RCP2.6</b>	<b>2030</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2050</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2100</b>	0.00‰	
	<b>SSP2 / RCP4.5</b>	<b>2030</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2050</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2100</b>	0.00‰	
	<b>SSP3 / RCP7.0</b>	<b>2030</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2050</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2100</b>	0.00‰	
	<b>SSP5 / RCP8.5</b>	<b>2030</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2050</b>	0.00‰	
		<b>2100</b>	0.00‰	

## **Centrum Galerie Dresden Asset-Level Climate-Related Risk Assessment**

10.04.2026

Copyright © Longevity Partners

## **Longevity Partners offices**

### **London**

Longevity Partners Limited,  
Work.Life Holborn  
20 Red Lion Street  
London  
WC1R 4PS

Contact:  
+44 (0) 20 3832 8664  
info@longevity.co.uk

### **Paris**

Longevity Partners France & Belux  
69 Boulevard Haussmann  
75008 Paris  
France

Contact:  
0170754914  
info@longevity.fr

### **Amsterdam**

Longevity Partners B.V.  
Hekelveld 8, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
1102 SN Amsterdam  
Nederland

Contact:  
+31 (0)20 237 93 56  
info@longevitypartners.nl

### **Munich**

Longevity Partners  
Mindspace Stachus  
Herzogspitalstraße 24  
80331  
Munich  
Germany

Contact:  
info@longevity.de

### **Austin**

Longevity Partners  
823 Congress Ave #1330  
Austin  
TX 78701  
USA

Contact:  
info@longevity-partners.com

### **Seattle**

WeWork c/o Longevity Partners  
107 Spring St  
Seattle, WA 98104  
USA

Contact:  
info@longevity-partners.com

### **New York**

1325 Avenue of the Americas  
Suite 2753A  
New York  
NY 10019  
USA

Contact:  
info@longevity-partners.com

### **Milan**

Via Pola 11  
20124  
Zona Isola  
Milan  
Italy

Contact:  
info@longevity-partners.com

### **Tokyo**

Kishimoto Bldg. 7F  
2-2-1 Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku  
Tokyo, 100-0005

Contact:  
+44 (0)20 3693 9814  
info@longevity.co.uk